

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-8487-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.09.2019

Smt. Madhu Mendiratta

..... Appellant

Versus

Ved Parkash and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: Mr. JS Rozera, Advocate for the appellant.

Respondent No. 3-Insurance Company already proceeded
ex parte vide order dated 12.05.2015.

RAMENDRA JAIN, (ORAL)

This appeal is liable to be dismissed, in view of office report dated 11.03.2016, that notice could not be issued again to respondents No. 1 and 2 for want of their correct address.

Perusal of file shows that this appeal is pending for awaiting service of respondents No. 1 and 2, since last more than three years, despite affording sufficient opportunities to learned counsel for the appellant to take effective steps to serve respondents No. 1 and 2.

In view of the above, further request for grant of another opportunity to learned counsel for the appellant to effect service upon respondents No. 1 and 2, is not liable to be entertained.

The instant appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

September 12, 2019

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No