

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-24509-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.09.2019

Nahim Akhtar

--Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mohd. Uzair, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length and pleadings on record have been perused.

Petitioner seeks a Mandamus for directing the official respondents to take necessary steps to protect his life and liberty.

Pleadings on record are wholly insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Rather averments made in the petition would indicate that the petitioner is claiming to be the *Gaddi Nashin* as per customary law of Peer Lala Wala Dargah at Village Burail, U.T., Chandigarh. The dispute apparently seems to be with private respondent no.4, who is none other than his real brother and who is also wanting to occupy the seat of the *Gaddi Nashin* of the Dargah in question.

The instant petition can only be seen as a fall out of a dispute between two brothers.

In view of the above, no intervention in the matter is called for.

Petition is dismissed.

It would be open for the petitioner to resort to his alternate remedies, if, so advised.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

05.09.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No