

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37985-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 13.09.2019

Rupinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that her husband had been falsely involved in a criminal case under Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act. He submits that the husband of the petitioner was picked up from the house in the morning whereas he was shown to have been arrested in the evening alongwith 860 tablets. He submits that near the house of the petitioner, there is a branch of bank and police having picked the husband of the petitioner in the morning hours is recorded therein.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Major Singh, has submitted that husband of the petitioner is involved in four more cases under Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act.

Be that as it may. This Court cannot hold parallel trial. Hence, this Court is not commenting on the merits of the allegations.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move appropriate application before the Court for preserving the aforesaid recording of the CCTV and for other directions.

13.09.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No