

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104)

CRM-M-37856-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.11.2019

Harjinder Singh @ Kaka

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. N.S. Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. APS Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Though the report of the Registry is still to the effect that a legible copy of the MLR has not been placed on record, however, learned State counsel submits that the '*Pairvi Cell*' having informed the concerned police station, the police file has been brought to him, containing therein the MLR in respect of the injuries shown to have been received by the complainant, Buta Singh. A perusal thereof shows that the complainant received 5 injuries, in respect of one of which he was advised an X-ray (as regards the left forearm), with the learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official who is present in court to assist him, submitting that the said injury has been found to be grievous, a fracture having resulted from the said injury attributed to the petitioner.

That being so, I see no reason to admit the petitioner to 'anticipatory bail'.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

However, nothing stated herein above shall be taken to be an

observation of this court on the merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, which naturally would be subject matter of evidence led before the trial court, with all observations herein above made only in the context of the petition seeking 'anticipatory bail'.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

15.11.2019

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: Yes