

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

--

COCP No. 3097 of 2019
Date of decision: 13.12.2019

Om Parkash

.. Petitioner

v.

Sudhir Rajpal

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vishvjeet Mehla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner filed a writ petition seeking direction to the Additional Chief Secretary-cum-Principal Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Haryana (for short, "the Additional Chief Secretary") to remove respondent No. 3 (in the writ petition) from the post of Block Samiti Member-cum-Chairman Block Samiti Thanesar as he had embezzled the government funds.

The writ petition was disposed of on 1.3.2019 directing the Additional Chief Secretary to decide the representations dated 8.1.2019 and 5.2.2019 within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. It was further directed that in case the allegations made by the petitioner had substance, necessary action would be taken in accordance

with law.

The present petition is filed pleading that directions of this Court have not been complied with.

Status report dated 12.12.2019 by way of affidavit of Mr. Sudhir Rajpal, IAS, Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana filed in Court is taken on record, copy handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is pleaded that the matter was looked into and *prima facie* concluded that there was embezzlement of government funds. It is further pleaded that the matter has been submitted to the Government for taking decision and as soon as the decision of the Government is received, the same will be communicated to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Mr. R. S. Sodhi, Deputy Director, Legal O/o Director, Panchayats, Haryana submits that on receipt of the decision from the Government, needful would be done in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law in case need so arises.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

13.12.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No