

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.212

FAO-5446-2016 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: May 31, 2019

NATHO AND OTHERS

..APPELLANTS

VERSUS

JAGDEV AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Ms. Ramanjit Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate,
for the insurance company.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the appellants would state that as the claimants have been allowed addition for future expenses @ 30% as against 25% admissible in the circumstances, even if compensation under conventional heads is enhanced, the net result would be loss to the claimants and as such, the appeal may be dismissed as withdrawn.

Ordered accordingly.

May 31, 2019

Ankur

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No