

117+119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. **Civil Revision No.5439 of 2019 (O&M)**
Date of Decision: 05.09.2019

GURJIT SINGHPetitioner
Vs
SURESH KUMARRespondents

2 **Civil Revision No.5454 of 2019 (O&M)**

GURJIT SINGHPetitioner
Vs
SURESH KUMAR & ORS.Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Chetan Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Vide this common order, CR Nos.5439 and 5454 of 2019 (O&M) are being disposed of as both the petitions have been preferred against the order dated 24.07.2019 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Amritsar, whereby the applications filed by the petitioner for consolidation of proceedings of two cases were dismissed.

I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Admittedly, two different civil suits titled '*Gurjit Singh vs. Inderbir Singh and others*' and '*Suresh Kumar vs. Gurjit Singh*'

having different prayers have been filed. One suit is for declaration and permanent injunction, whereas second suit is for possession by way of partition. Both the suits are before the same Court. Clubbing of both the suits is not permissible in terms of Section 151 CPC, nor any such direction can be issued to the trial Court to decide the suits on the same day as the parties to the suit or their witnesses may or may not appear on the same day.

In view of aforesaid, the impugned order is not found to be the result of any error of jurisdiction. Both the revision petitions are accordingly dismissed. However, the trial Court shall make every endeavour to decide both the suits as early as possible.

September 05, 2019

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**