

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(123)

CWP-24418-2019

Date of Decision: September 05, 2019

Phool Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance as being raised by the petitioner is that the daily wage service which the petitioner had rendered from 01.12.1980 till 01.05.1993 has not been taken into account as a qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits and the same is contrary to the settled principle of law settled by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kesar Chand Vs. State of Punjab, 1988 (5) SLR 27.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that a direction be issued to the respondents to count the daily wage service rendered by the petitioner from 01.12.1980 till 01.05.1993 as a qualifying service and grant the petitioner consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 27.01.2019 (Annexure P-4) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the legal notice dated 27.01.2019 (Annexure P-4), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 27.01.2019 (Annexure P-4), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 05, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No