

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37568-2019
Date of decision: 13.09.2019**

Balwinder Singh**...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab**...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. Ripudaman Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S. P. S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 60 dated 24.05.2019, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 471, 120-B of the IPC at Police Station Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of complainant Harjinder Singh Bhangu, it is stated that he is the holder of Aadhar Card bearing No. xxxx xxxx 7178 and later on, he came to know about the existence of an Aadhar Card bearing No. xxxx xxxx 1041, which was prepared with the complete address of the complainant, however, there was the photograph of some other person on it. On registration of the FIR, the police conducted the investigation and during investigation, it is found that the petitioner, by faking the Aadhar Card of other persons, especially regarding the properties of the Pearl Group, has executed fake sale deeds in the States of Madhya Pradesh and Tamilnadu.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner

is falsely implicated in this case on account of the fact that he has given a complaint against the mother of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the said Aadhar Card was not used for any collateral purposes.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Pawan Kumar, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has, however, opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner, by posing himself as Sita Ram has executed a sale deed in Tamilnadu. Similarly, he faked another sale deed which was executed by one Gurcharan Singh in Madhya Pradesh.

Learned State counsel further submits that qua the properties of the Pearl Group, a litigation is pending before Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 13301/2015 and there is a stay regarding alienation of the properties belonging to the Pearl Group and the petitioner and others have adopted a modus operandi to identify the properties of this group in different parts of the country and by posing themselves to the the owner of the such properties on fake and fictitious documents, the petitioner has executed two fake sale deeds in Tamilnadu and Madhya Pradesh and, therefore, the custodial investigation of the petitioner is required to find out if some other similar fraud has been committed by the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I do not find any ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner considering the serious allegations against him.

Petition stands dismissed.

13.09.2019

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*