

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-24768-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:10.09.2019**

Sarabjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner herein had applied for award of LPG Distributorship with the Indian Oil Corporation Limited at location Pundri, District Kaithal. Apparently, application of the petitioner having been processed, his name was also entered in the list of eligible candidates and a draw of lots took place on 24.01.2019. In such draw, Sunil Kumar/respondent No.5 herein has been declared successful.

As per petitioner, application of respondent No.5 ought to have been rejected as he did not fulfill the eligibility criteria for individual applications as per Clause 6 (vii) of the brochure issued by the respondent/ Corporation containing guidelines for selection of regular LPG Distributorship.

Pleadings on record would indicate that a complaint in such regard having been preferred by the petitioner, the respondent/Corporation issued letter dated 24.05.2019 (Annexure P-9) taking a stand that since the Field Verification Credential Committee is still seized of the matter as soon

as a final decision in such regard is taken, the outcome would be conveyed to the petitioner.

It is at such stage that the instant writ petition was preferred questioning the eligibility of respondent No.5 as regards award of LPG Distributorship at the concerned location i.e. Pundri, District Kaithal.

During the course of hearing today, Mr. Ashish Kapoor, learned counsel representing the Indian Oil Corporation has apprised the Court that pursuant to the complaint, a final decision has been taken vide letter dated 02.09.2019 and the same has since been received by the petitioner. He further submits that even the Letter of Intent for award of LPG Distributorship in favour of Sunil Kumar/respondent No.5 has been issued on 05.09.2019.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate very fairly undertakes to supply to counsel for the petitioner a copy of Letter of Intent dated 05.09.2019 issued in favour of respondent No.5 i.e. Sunil Kumar.

Faced with such a situation, Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the writ petition with liberty to raise a challenge to the decision contained in communication dated 02.09.2019 rejecting the complaint filed by the petitioner as also the LOI issued in favour of respondent No.5 on all grounds that may be available in accordance with law.

Writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

10.09.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No