

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.8140 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: February 21, 2019

Amit Duggal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. R.P. Pruthy, Advocate for
Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioner has impugned order dated 14.03.2017, passed by respondent No.1, promoting respondent No.5 to the post of Assistant Commissioner of Drugs in the pay scale of Rs.10,300/- 34800/-+5,000 grade pay. The petitioner has urged before the court that he ranks senior to respondent No.5. However, by wrong assumption that the vacancy of Assistant Commissioner of Drugs, falls in the roster point, the respondent No.1 decided to treat the same as reserved and promote respondent No.5. A perusal of the order, however, shows that no reason is contained therein. The order is in fact, non-speaking and cryptic. This court, thus, proposes to remit the same to respondent No.1 for decision afresh. This proposal is not opposed by the State counsel.

Under the circumstances, impugned order is hereby set-aside. Matter is remitted to the same authority for decision afresh by passing a speaking order.

Disposed of.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

February 21, 2019
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No