

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 546 of 2018.

Date of Decision: 04.09.2019.

Ravinder Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Shivam Tuli, Advocate for
Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajvir Singh Sihag, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG Haryana
for respondent No.2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The petitioner seeks quashing of order dated 23.11.2017 (Annexure P-11) passed by respondent No.1, vide which he was directed to apply afresh for the grant of PB Arms Licence under Family Heirloom Policy.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 states that the licence in question under Family Heirloom Policy was not allowed to the petitioner as there was no sufficient justification by the petitioner as per the existing rule. However, subsequent to the rejection, new Armed

Rules, 2016 have been notified on 15.07.2016 and the petitioner was advised to apply afresh under Rule 25 of the new Armed Rules, 2016.

The petitioner has not done so.

In this view of the matter, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 that in case the necessary application in pursuance to order dated 23.11.2007 (Annexure P-11) is filed by the petitioner, the necessary action thereupon be taken expeditiously preferably within 12 weeks from the date of receipt of such an application. In case the petitioner meets the criterion, the necessary licence be issued to him. However, in case on consideration the case is not found to be covered under the new Armed Rules, 2016 or any other rules, a detailed order be passed in the matter after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

04.09.2019.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)

SN

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No