

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

CRM-M-37072-2019

Date of Decision : 06.11.2019

Rajbir

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: - Mr. Ajay Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. S.S.Siao, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.886 dated 11.08.2019 under Sections 279, 307, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Karnal, District Karnal.

As per the allegations, one case FIR No.0811 dated 29.07.2019 under Sections 354-A, 452, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Karnal, was pending against the petitioner in which the complainant Narinder s/o Jai Parkash was a witness. The precise allegation is that the accused intentionally hit his tractor trolley with the car of the complainant. Passer-by took out the complainant and his aunt from the car. It was alleged that if the passers-by were not present at spot, the petitioner would have killed them.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Bhupinder Kumar submits that investigation has revealed that the

tractor

CRM-M-37072-2019

-2-

was not stopped immediately after the collision. In fact, an attempt was made to drag the car near the drain.

Learned counsel for the complainant has placed on record a calendra under Section 107/151 Cr.P.C. wherein it has been recorded that after the grant of interim bail, the petitioner went to the complainant party and threatened them to withdraw the other cases pending against the petitioner.

Keeping in view the nature of the allegations made against the petitioner, it is not a fit case to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition dismissed.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

06.11.2019
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No