

CWP no. 7975 of 2017 (O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP no. 7975 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 21.02.2019

Vikram Chib

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present : Mr. Mukesh Mehra, Advocate for
 Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

 Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate for respondent

MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition questioning the action of the respondents in not transferring the plot in his name despite the fact that he had completed all the necessities.

On 31.10.2017, this Court had noticed the willingness of the petitioner to satisfy the demand of Rs.3,64,725/- provided a plot in the preferential category is given to him. Pursuant to these orders preferential plot was indeed given to the petitioner but the Estate Officer raised another demand of Rs.50,768/- which was not accepted by the petitioner and with the intervention of the Court, the respondents restricted their demand of Rs.3,64,725/-only. The demand concededly has been satisfied by the petitioner. Re-allotment letter was issued to him by the respondents on

not a preferential plot. An affidavit has been filed on 12.9.2018 by the respondents stating that this plot is in the preferential category.

If that be so, then we are of the opinion that the interest of the petitioner has been satisfied and no further orders are required. However, in case the assertion of the respondents with regard to the preferential nature of the plot is found to be incorrect, the petitioner would be at liberty to pursue his remedies with the respondents in appropriate proceedings to be initiated for filing a false affidavit.

Disposed of.

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge

21.02.2019
rekha

(Lalit Batra)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No