

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(1)

RSA No.4510 of 2010(O&M)

Sukhdev Singh

.....APPELLANT

Vs.

Gurmeet Kaur and others

.....RESPONDENTS

(2)

RSA No.4484 of 2010(O&M)

Sukhdev Singh and another

.....APPELLANTS

Vs.

Gurmeet Kaur and others

.....RESPONDENTS

(3)

RSA No.5046 of 2010(O&M)

Jasmal Kaur

.....APPELLANT

Vs.

Gurmeet Kaur and others

.....RESPONDENTS

Date of Decision: 23.09.2019

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. RAVI RANJAN

Present:

Ms. Carol Aurora, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Kumar, Advocate for the applicant-appellant(s).

Mr. Kulwant Singh, Advocate for the
respondents

DR. RAVI RANJAN, J.(Oral Judgment)

CM No.12111-C-2019 in RSA No.4510 of 2010

Heard.

This application has been filed on behalf of the applicant-appellant for substituting the heirs and legal representatives of sole appellant-Sukhdev Singh, who is stated to have died on 26.03.2014 during the pendency of the appeal, leaving behind his heirs and legal representatives fully described in

paragraph No.4 of this application.

There is no opposition to the aforesaid prayer.

In view of the averments made in this application, same is allowed subject to all just exceptions and the legal representatives of the aforesaid deceased/appellant-Sukhdev Singh, fully described in para No.4 of this application, are permitted to pursue the present appeal.

Amended memorandum of parties attached with this application is accepted and taken in record.

It is contended that Power of Attorney has already been filed on behalf of the proposed heirs and legal representatives of the deceased-appellant.

CM No.12112-C-2019 in RSA No.4510 of 2010

Heard.

By filing this application, the applicant-appellant wants to withdraw the main appeal, i.e., RSA No.4510 of 2010, in view of the compromise having been reached between the parties outside the Court. Further, a prayer has been made for placing on record a copy of such compromise to this effect as Annexure C-1.

In view of the fact that a compromise having been reached between the parties, this application is allowed. Since the main case is admitted by this Court vide order dated 27.03.2012, the same is being taken on regular board today itself.

CM No.12048-C-2019 in RSA No.4484 of 2010

Heard.

This application has been filed on behalf of the applicants-appellants for substituting the heirs and legal representatives of appellant-No.1-Sukhdev Singh, who is stated to have died on 26.03.2014 during the pendency

of the appeal, leaving behind his heirs and legal representatives fully described in paragraph No.4 of this application.

There is no opposition to the aforesaid prayer.

In view of the averments made in this application, same is allowed subject to all just exceptions and the legal representatives of the aforesaid deceased/appellant No.1-Sukhdev Singh, fully described in para No.4 of this application, are permitted to pursue the present appeal.

Amended memorandum of parties attached with this application is accepted and taken in record.

It is contended that Power of Attorney has already been filed on behalf of the proposed heirs and legal representatives of the deceased-appellant No.1.

CM No.12049-C-2019 in RSA No.4484 of 2010

Heard.

By filing this application, the applicant-appellant wants to withdraw the main appeal, i.e., RSA No.4484 of 2010, in view of the compromise having been reached between the parties outside the Court. Further, a prayer has also been made for placing on record a copy of such compromise to this effect in this case as well as Annexure C-1.

In view of the fact that a compromise having been reached between the parties, this application is allowed. Since the main case is admitted by this Court vide order dated 27.03.2012, the same is being taken on regular board today itself.

CM No.12263-C-2019 in RSA No.5046 of 2010

Heard.

By filing this application, the applicant-appellant wants to withdraw

the main appeal, i.e., RSA No.5046 of 2010, in view of the compromise having been reached between the parties outside the Court. Further, a prayer has also been made for placing on record a copy of such compromise to this effect in this case as well as Annexure C-1.

In view of the fact that a compromise having been reached between the parties, this application is allowed. Since the main case is admitted by this Court vide order dated 27.03.2012, the same is being taken on regular board today itself.

RSA Nos.4510, 4484 and 5046 of 2010

Since the applicant-appellant wants to withdraw all the aforesaid three appeals in view of the compromise (Annexure C-1) arrived at between the parties outside the Court, all the appeals are dismissed as withdrawn.

It is also stated that in terms of the said compromise, a demand draft for a sum of Rs.7 lacs, has already been handed over by the respondents to the appellant(s). Learned counsel for the appellant(s) admits that.

Let a photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(DR. RAVI RANJAN)
JUDGE

23.09.2019

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No