

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P 4964 of 2018
Date of decision : 09.04.2019**

Manju KhatriPetitioner
versus

State of Haryana and ors. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel submits that the name of present petitioner was recommended for appointed as Lecturer in Education (Teaching of Commerce) by duly selected committee, pursuant to advertisement dated 14.01.2014. The recommendation was approved by the Vice Chancellor of the Selection Committee. The petitioner was then issued appointment letter by respondent No. 3 on 08.08.2014(P-6). The petitioner was then relieved from the college of respondent No. 5 and joined the college of respondent No. 3, vide joining letter dated 16.08.2014 (P-9). Subsequently, vide letter dated 17.03.2015(P-11), a letter was written by Dean, Dean College Development Council, MDU Rohtak stating therein that the matter regarding the approval of appointment of the petitioner was considered and the same was not acceded to because she does not fulfill the requisite qualification laid down by NCTE/MDU.

Learned counsel has admitted this fact that respondent No. 4 is private aided college affiliated to MDU and the petitioner for her grievance can approach the Education Tribunal. The petitioner has approached this Court after a delay of 03 years.

In view of the above factual position, learned counsel wishes to withdraw the present petition to approach the Tribunal, in accordance with law.

April 09, 2019
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>