

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(296)

CWP-4961-2018

Date of Decision: September 10, 2019

Gurnam Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

**The Punjab State Cooperative Supply & Marketing Ltd & another
.. Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Dilraj Singh Bhinder, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner has only been granted the interest on gratuity from the date of legal notice dated 13.08.2017 whereas, the petitioner was entitled for the interest on the delayed payment of gratuity from the date of retirement.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the benefit as being claimed, has already been granted to the petitioner vide order dated 10.07.2019 passed by the Managing Director, Markfed and the petitioner has been granted the benefit of interest on the differential amount of gratuity as per the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 w.e.f. the date petitioner retired i.e. 01.10.2013 @ 9% per annum. Copy of the order dated 10.07.2019 passed by the Managing Director, Markfed, has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that grievance of the petitioner stands satisfied and he does not want to press this writ petition any further.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the payment under the order dated 10.07.2019 will be released to the petitioner within a period of four weeks from today.

Keeping in view the above, the present writ petition is disposed of having been not pressed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

September 10, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No