

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7623-2017

Date of decision: 06.02.2019

Vaseem Ahmed

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Dadwal, Advocate with
Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral).

By this petition, the petitioner is seeking quashing of selection of respondent No. 4, appointed as District Manager, Kaithal, Haryana State Electronics Development Corporation Limited.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 3-Haryana State Electronics Development Corporation Ltd. has informed that vide advertisement dated 14.12.2015 (Annexure P-6), 42 posts of District Manager on contract basis were notified and applications were sought and notices were issued on contract basis for one year.

The case of the petitioner is that respondent No. 4 was appointed as District Manager Kaithal against two posts who have secured less marks.

After filing of the written statement on 16.01.2018, it was observed that two persons were interviewed and respondent No. 4 was at Sr. No. 1 having obtained 60 marks and the petitioner at Sr. No. 2 having

obtained 52 marks, was not recommended by the Selection Committee for

the 2nd post. Today learned counsel for respondent No. 3 has informed that petitioner has been appointed on 23.03.2018 on contract basis.

No further order is required to be passed since this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

06.02.2019
Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No