

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.400 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision:11.02.2019**

Mrs. Sumedha Mahajan	Versus	Appellant(s)
Union Territory, Chandigarh		...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. M. L. Sarin, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate
Mr. Shailendra Jain, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. H. J. Singh, Advocate
Mr. M. L. Saggar, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Rohit Joshi, Advocate
Mr. P. C. Dhiman, Advocate
Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate
Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate
Mr. Raj Kumar Rathore, Advocate
Ms. Ekta Saini, Advocate, for
Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate
Mr. Hardip Singh, Advocate
Mr. M. S. Rana, Advocate
Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the landowners.

Mr. Pankaj Jain, Sr. Standing Counsel
Mr. Tarun Walia, Advocate
Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate
Mr. Anil Mehta, Advocate
Ms. Shivangi Sharma, Advocate
Ms. Geeta Sharma, Advocate for U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Sudhir Nar, Advocate, for UOI in RFA-1335 to 1338-2013.
Mr. Satish Singla, Advocate, for UOI in RFA-2154-2012.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (ORAL)

The appeal pertains to the superstructure and fruit trees, is
dismissed.

For reasons, see judgment of even date passed in RFA No.2680 of
2012 titled as “***Ishar Singh Vs. Union Territory, Chandigarh.***”

11.02.2019
pvd

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No