

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24125 of 2019

Date of Decision:03.09.2019

Amit Khosla

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Ankit Kharbanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length and the case paper-book has been perused.

Pleadings on record are wholly insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Rather the averments made in the petition would bring forth that there is a dispute between the petitioner as also the private respondents and who happen to be none-other than the real brother of the petitioner and his in-laws.

Counsel during the course of arguments has very fairly apprised the Court that a suit for permanent injunction already stands instituted at the hands of the petitioner and in which the private respondents have been arrayed as party defendants. Even an application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 was preferred by the petitioner but in which no interim relief had been granted.

The instant petition would be seem as a mere fallout of the dispute between the brothers.

Civil Litigation pertaining to the dispute is pending as of date
before the competent Court.

No intervention in the matter as such is called for.

Petition dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

September 03, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No