

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M no.36946 of 2019

Date of Decision: 27.09.2019

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Mohinder Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners having seen that RSA no.1136 of 2002 actually pertains to a different property in the same locality, i.e. House No.B2/1162 in Village Banwari, Tehsil Rajpura, District Patiala, he however submits as a matter of fact even qua the property in question in respect of which he seeks proceedings by the police against Lajwanti alias Lajjo, her husband Sukhdev Singh and her son Tejinder Kumar alias Monu, i.e. Shop no.B-2/1264, Khasra no.495, situated at Patiala Road, Banwari, Tehsil Rajpura, also a decree has been issued in his favour. However, even today no such decree has been placed on record.

Though by this petition, a direction is sought that the DSP, Rajpura, be directed to go into the grievance of the petitioner to conclude the enquiry stated to have been initiated qua the property bearing Shop/House no.B2/1264, Khasra no.495, learned counsel now submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

As RSA no.1136 of 2002, COCP no.1429 of 2012 and CR no.697 of 2006, were never directed to be listed before this court and only the case files thereof were required to be put up along with CRM-M-36946 of 2019, to verify whether the decree in favour of the petitioner was in respect of the same property as is being referred to in this petition, with the petition having been withdrawn today, the said case files are ordered to be returned to the Registry, to be put up as per roster, as per the turn of those cases.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

27.09.2019
vcgarg/dinesh

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no