

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4669 of 2018 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:09.12.2019

Tekan Raj Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Karamveer Singh Banyana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

CM No.16108 of 2019

The present application has been filed seeking exemption from personal appearance of respondent No.2.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, application is allowed. Respondent No.2 is granted exemption from personal appearance.

CWP No.4669 of 2018

Counsel for the petitioner states that the benefits for which the present application has been filed have already released to the petitioner during the pendency of the same and the only claim of the petitioner which subsists as of now is for the grant of interest on the delayed release.

Counsel for the petitioner states that he be given liberty to

approach the respondents for claiming the interest on the delayed payment keeping in view the instructions dated 20.02.2002 coupled with the settled principles of law settled by this Court in *A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) SCT 468*.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner seeking interest on the delayed payment, the same will be decided in accordance with law, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, he does not wish to press this petition any further and the same may be disposed of as having being not pressed.

Ordered accordingly.

December 09, 2019

jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No