

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.446 of 2018

Date of Decision: August 19, 2019

Vijay Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Baldev Singh Badhran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl.A.G.Haryana,
for the State.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.3 & 4.

Mr. Raje Ram Kaushik, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.7 & 8.

Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.10 & 11.

Mr. Chandan Singh, Advocate for

Mr. Amit Jhanji, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.12 & 13.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondent Nos.5 and 13 have already retired and as regards the grant of current duty charge to respondent Nos.6 to 12, in view of the stand taken in the written statement of respondent Nos.3 and 4 represented by Mr.Lokesh Sinhal and as well as order dated 07.03.2018 (Annexure R3/2), the same has been withdrawn and, therefore, no cause of action survives.

In view of the aforementioned statement, the writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

August 19, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No