

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 23995 of 2019
Date of decision : 02.09.2019

Krishna Khanna

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. G.S. Bal, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argues that keeping in view the Instructions dated 22.12.2011 (Annexure P-1), employee is entitled for revision of basic pension/family pension after every five years. Further, the retired employees are entitled for the grant of the Travel Concession in pursuance to the Instructions dated 12.07.2000 (Annexure P-2) after every two years.

Learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argues that petitioner is entitled for the benefit under the Instructions dated 22.12.2011 (Annexure P-1) for the revision of her basic/family pension, which has not been done and further as per the Instructions dated 12.07.2000 (Annexure P-2) even the benefit of Travel Concession has also

not been extended to her. Learned Senior counsel states that therefore, a

direction be issued to the respondents to grant these benefits to the petitioner.

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, petitioner has served respondents with a legal notice on 02.07.2019 (Annexure P-5), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to decide the legal notice dated 02.07.2019 (Annexure P-5) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case after the decision, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefit, the same shall also be released to her within a period of next three months.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 02, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*