

CWP-4383-2018 (O&M)
CWP-4701-2018 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

Date of Decision : 19.8.2019

CWP-4383-2018 (O&M)

Davinder Pal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Cental Board of Direct Taxes, North Block-New Delhi and others

..... Respondents

CWP-4701-2018 (O&M)

Amita

..... Petitioner

Versus

Cental Board of Direct Taxes, North Block-New Delhi and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present : Mr. Pankaj Jain, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Divya Suri, Advocate and
Mr. Sachin Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Denesh Goyal, Sr. Standing Counsel
for the respondent No.1.

None for respondents No. 2 to 5.

Mr. H.S.Sullar, DAG, Punjab.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Vide this common order, we shall dispose of abovesaid two writ petitions as both are interconnected.

By these two petitions the petitioners have challenged various orders dated 23.9.2017 and 17.1.2018 whereby the Registering Authority of Batala, District Gurdaspur was asked to give information to Revenue

about any transfer of land of the petitioners to the persons mentioned in the letter as well as the notification dated 19.1.2018 blacklisting the petitioners.

As per the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners even though the Revenue had requested only for information yet now the local Authorities are going a step further and no transfer deed of any land belonging to the petitioners is being registered.

The stand of the learned counsel for the Revenue and the State is that this is a misapprehension and there is no direction or order whereby any land belonging to the petitioners cannot be transferred. Further, in the reply filed on behalf of respondent No.6, it has been stated that respondent No.5 has clarified that when the persons mentioned in the both letters approach for transfer of immovable property, he should inform their office with copy of registration deed and the only request of the Revenue is that information be granted. They have assured the Court that there would be no bar on the transfer of any property of the petitioners.

In this view of the matter, nothing survives in the instant petitions. Consequently, both the petitions stand dismissed as infructuous.

Since the main cases have been dismissed as infructuous, the pending CM., if any, also stands dismissed as such.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

19.8.2019
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No