

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-23962-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:02.09.2019**

Rashpal Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Bhupinder Banga, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel has been heard at length and pleadings on record have been perused.

The averments made in the petition are insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

To the contrary, pleadings would indicate that the petitioner had purchased a certain parcel of land from the father-in-law of private respondent No.4. Dispute having arisen, petitioner is already stated to have instituted civil proceedings against the opposite party.

The instant writ petition would only be seen as a fallout of a civil dispute between the parties.

No intervention in the matter is called for.

Dismissed.

02.09.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No