

308

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.23824 OF 2019
Date of Decision: 30.09.2019

PriyankaPetitioner

versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present Mr. Gurpreet Jayia, Advocate for
Mr.Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State has produced detailed marks obtained by the petitioner, in sealed cover for the post of PGT Commerce General Category. The same has been opened, perused and on comparison with cut off 116 the petitioner has obtained 96 marks, therefore has not been able to come within the zone of consideration.

In such circumstances, no cause of action survives in the present writ petition and accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed.

30.09.2019
mamta

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No