

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6907-2017 (O & M)

Date of decision: 26.03.2019

Mridula Sharma

.... Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of order dated 16th March, 2017, Annexure P-9 on the plea that the same was passed without affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that the pay of the petitioner had been wrongly fixed and impugned order was, thus, passed to make necessary correction.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and given careful thought to the facts of the case. As it appears that there has been a bona fide mistake while fixing the pay of the petitioner, the authority was fully competent to issue a fresh order after making necessary correction. Law is well-settled that bona fide mistake by the authority can always be corrected.

Learned State counsel, however, submits that fresh order shall be passed after hearing the petitioner.

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of.

March 26, 2019

sukhpreet

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No

