

(229) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No.4292 of 2015
Date of decision: 05.02.2019

Santro and others Appellants

Versus

Naresh Kumar and others Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present : None for the appellants.

None for respondent No.2.

Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for
respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

Mr. D.S. Nain, Advocate for respondent No.4.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] As per office report, notice could not be issued to respondent No.1 for want of correct address.

[2] On 31.03.2016, appellants were directed to furnish correct address of respondent No.1 for issuance of notice for 09.08.2016. Again on 09.08.2016, direction was issued for filing correct address of respondent No.1 within two weeks for issuance of notice for 16.12.2016. On 16.12.2016, none was present on the behalf of the appellants and it was observed that notice could not be issued to respondent No.1 as the appellant had not filed correct address. Thereupon, correct address of respondent No.1 was ordered to be filed within two weeks for issuance of notice to respondent No.1 for 27.04.2017. Thereafter, the case was adjourned by

correct address of respondent No.1 had still not been filed on account of which notice could not be issued, direction was issued to do the needful for issuance of notice to respondent No.1 for 10.07.2018 by making it clear that the same was the last opportunity.

[3] Office report dated 01.02.2019 again reveals that correct address of respondent No.1 has not been filed by the learned counsel for the appellants and today also, no one is present on behalf of the appellant. It appears that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the appeal.

[4] Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.02.2019

amit

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes/No. |
| 2. | Whether reportable : | Yes/No. |