

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 3057 of 2019
Date of decision: 3.9.2019

Jnardan Mahto

.. Petitioner

v.

Baldev Singh and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Harpreet Singh Jakhal, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading that there is wilful disobedience of the order dated 11.1.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No. 6523 of 2017 which was disposed of in terms of the order dated 29.11.2018 passed in CWP No. 1013 of 2017. The operative part of the order in CWP No. 1013 of 2017 is reproduced below:

“4. As a result, the rights of the petitioner/s will be governed by the decision in LPA No. 997 of 2016, 'Punjab State Power Corporation Limited Vs. Nirmala Rani' decided on 22.09.2016 and other connected appeals and the orders of the Supreme Court in Interlocutory Applications in SLP (C) Diary No. 30953 of 2017 dismissed on 13.10.2017 and keeping in view the two resolutions of the Corporation (supra) the further course of action, in any, will be governed by this order. Relief will be regulated on the cumulative effect of these judicial and administrative decisions. So far as payment of arrears of benefit of promotional increments is

concerned, those will be determined by the Corporation restricted to 36 months from the date of filing of the respective writ petitions.

5. With these observations, these petitions are disposed of. The entire exercise be carried out within three months from the date of receiving of the certified copy of this order and the financial benefits be disbursed to the rightful owners/recipients within the next two months failing which the amounts will carry 6% interest till realisation.”

As per the order, the respondents had to carry out entire exercise within three months from the receipt of certified copy of the order.

The copy was received on 15.2.2019.

In spite of making representation thereafter, the order has not been complied with. Still another opportunity is provided to the respondents to do the needful within six weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case of non-compliance, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition. The revival would be at the cost and responsibility of the respondents.

With the aforesaid observation, the contempt petition stands disposed of.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

3.9.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No