

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 36322 of 2019
Decided on: 25.09.2019**

Amrit Lal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Subhash Godara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.166 dated 04.02.2017 under Section 174-A IPC, registered at Police Station City, Jagadhri.

The operative part of the order dated 02.09.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during pendency of the proceedings under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, the trial Court directed the police to register an FIR under Section 174-A IPC on account of non-appearance of the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner, on coming to know about the proceedings under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, appeared before the trial Court on 21.08.2019 and he was granted the concession of regular bail.

Notice of motion for 25.09.2019....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 02.09.2019, the petitioner has already appeared before the trial Court and he has been released on interim bail. Counsel for the petitioner has also placed on record the certified copy of the order dated 06.09.2019 passed by the trial Court, which is taken on record as Mark X.

Counsel for the State has not disputed the aforesaid fact.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 02.09.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

25.09.2019
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No