

Civil Revision No. 5333 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : September 04, 2019

Neha Mittal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Kavita Gupta

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILLPresent: Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

LISA GILL, J.

This revision petition has been filed challenging order dated 20.08.2019 (Annexure P-9) passed by the learned Appellate Authority/Additional District Judge, Sonapat whereby provisional rent at the rate of ₹30,000/- per month from the date of ejectment order dated 22.10.2018 till the decision of the pending appeal has been ordered to be paid by the present petitioner.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be afforded some more time to deposit the provisional rent as directed by the learned Appellate Authority. It is not disputed that ₹30,000/- per month is admittedly the contractual rate of rent in this case. The matter, it is submitted, is listed before the learned Appellate Authority for 12.09.2019.

Keeping in view the specific stand of the petitioner, it is considered appropriate to dispose of this petition without issuance of notice to the respondent in order to obviate any further delay and to avoid the burden of unnecessary litigation expenses upon the respondent.

Time to deposit provisional rent, as ordered by the learned Appellate Authority/Additional District Judge, Sonapat vide order dated 20.08.2019 (Annexure P-9) is extended till 12.09.2019.

With the said modification in the impugned order dated 20.08.2019, present petition is disposed of.

September 04, 2019
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No