

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6287 of 2017

Date of decision: 25.11.2019

Amar Nath

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. V.B. Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. A.S. Jaswal, Advocate for
Mr. Mahesh Dheer, Advocate
for the respondents No.5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that certain benefits have been released to the petitioner but claim of the petitioner with regard to the grant of basic salary for the post of clerk, as being granted to the directly recruited clerks, has not been extended to him. Counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was not given basic pay of Rs.4000/- in the cadre of clerk which was allowed in favour of various juniors of the petitioner, who were recruited directly to the post of clerk.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that liberty be granted to him to raise this claim before the respondents by filing an appropriate representation.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that in case, any such

representation is filed by the petitioner as detailed above, the same will be decided within a period of three months from the date of receipt of said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, he does not wish to press the present writ petition any further and same may be disposed of as such.

Present writ petition stands disposed of as having been not pressed. Respondents shall abide to their statement as recorded above.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

25.11.2019
aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |