

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(252)

CWP-6281-2017

Date of Decision: October 01, 2019

Ram Ratti

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.S. Duhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that husband of the petitioner had more than 10 years of service to his credit, which would entitle the benefit of pension to the late husband of the petitioner and thereafter, family pension to the petitioner but the respondents have come out with a plea that keeping in view the number of days which the late husband of the petitioner had worked with the respondents, the total service period is less than 10 years which would not entitle the petitioner for the said benefit.

Faced with the facts that service period of the late husband of the petitioner is in dispute, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the Civil Court for the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

October 01, 2019

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No