

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6088-2017 (O & M)

Date of decision: 28.02.2019

Jujhar Singh

.... Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. N.S. Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Bajwa, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J. (Oral)

Petitioner was working as a Work Inspector in the respondent No.2-department. His stand is that he suffered from certain illness due to which was referred to PGIMER, Chandigarh. As he found it very difficult to travel or sit continuously, he submitted an application seeking earned leave alternatively to grant him premature retirement. On 03.11.2016, he again submitted a representation in the same terms. On 30.11.2016, however, he wrote a letter, Annexure P-9, seeking voluntary retirement. Only plea raised by the petitioner is that he ought to have been retired w.e.f. 30.04.2017 in view of his application, Annexure P-9.

Stand of the State, however, is that after counting three months' period as notice period, the date of voluntary retirement was correctly fixed by the department.

In view of the above, there is no merit in the present petition.
Same is hereby dismissed.

February 28, 2019
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No