

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.2160 of 2019.

Decided on:- November 07, 2019.

Akash Verma.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Priya Kohli Verma.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate
for the respondent.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

The petitioner-husband has filed present criminal revision against the order dated 15.11.2018 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Patiala, whereby on an application for grant of interim maintenance filed by the respondent-wife in a petition filed by her under Sections 12, 18, 19, 20 and 22 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (for short, the Domestic Violence Act), learned Magistrate had directed the petitioner-husband to pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- per month to the respondent-wife as interim maintenance from the date of application. The petitioner-husband was also directed to pay rental expenses to the tune of Rs.3,000/- per month to the respondent-wife from the date of application.

Challenge has also been laid to the judgment dated 20.07.2019 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala, whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner-husband against the aforesaid order dated 15.11.2018 passed by learned Magistrate, was dismissed.

On 17.09.2019, while issuing notice of motion in the case, this Court has passed the following order:

“In compliance of order dated 02.09.2019 passed by this Court, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has filed an affidavit of the petitioner with regard to his income for the last 5 years and his status where he is residing as on date. The same is taken on record. He submits that since June, 2015, the petitioner is not in any job and whatever savings he has made in the earlier years, he has spent that on his treatment, on the treatment of his wife and child as well as on litigations. He further submits that the awarded maintenance is on higher side.

Notice of motion for 06.11.2019.

Dasti only.

Meanwhile, the payment of maintenance beyond 60% is held in abeyance, till the next date of hearing, provided the petitioner deposits 60% amount of interim maintenance before the trial Court before the adjourned date.

The petitioner-husband shall pay a sum of Rs.20,000/- to the respondent-wife on causing her appearance before this Court, towards litigation expenses.”

On the last date of hearing i.e. 06.11.2019, Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate had put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-wife and the case was adjourned to today.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner-husband has informed that the petitioner has not complied with the order dated 17.09.2019 passed by this Court.

Therefore, the present petition is dismissed for non-compliance of the order dated 17.09.2019 passed by this Court.

November 07, 2019
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No