

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6 of 2017
Date of decision: 01.03.2019

Seema Gupta

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP No. 1552 of 2017

Seema Sharma

...Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Shiv Charan Bhola, Advocate and
Mr. J.S. Khattar, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 submits that the notice/advertisement dated 09.12.2016 (Annexure P-6) has been withdrawn by the respondents and the petitioner is continuing to work on contract basis.

Keeping in view this fact, this petition is being disposed of by giving direction to the respondents that in future the petitioner can be replaced only by regularly selected candidate or her work and conduct is not found satisfactory.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

01.03.2019

Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No