

104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36312-2019
Date of decision-02.09.2019

Gurmeet Singh @ Gurmeet Ram

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Surinder Mohan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

This is the fourth attempt of the petitioner to seek intervention of this Court for grant of pre-arrest bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.67 dated 23.07.2017 registered under Sections 323, 324, 341 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Banur, District Patiala. Subsequently, the offence punishable under Section 307 IPC was also added in the above FIR after receipt of the opinion of the doctor.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that previously the parties were exploring the possibility of the compromise, however, same did not materialize. Previously three applications were founded on the ground of compromise which were not pressed and were withdrawn. The last application was withdrawn on 24.07.2019.

On merits, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the petitioner was not only named in the FIR, but he inflicted knife blow to the

victim. According to him, in the said occurrence, the petitioner also suffered injuries and on their statement, cross version is recorded by way of complaint case which is pending before the Magistrate. He fairly submits that the said cross version was not introduced in the previous petitions filed by the petitioners.

Considering the above background and the fact that the petitioner is attributed specific role, this Court does not find any reason for grant of extra ordinary concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

02.09.2019

vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No