

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(115)

CWP-24069-2019

Date of Decision: September 03, 2019

Surinder Kaur

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that order dated 07.12.2015 has already been recalled by the department vide which pension granted to the petitioner was withdrawn and pension has been restored. Counsel for the petitioner argues that though the arrears have already been released to the petitioner but as the petitioner was deprived of the benefit of pension from the year 2015 onwards till its restoration, therefore, petitioner is entitled for the grant of interest on the amount which has been paid to the petitioner upon the restoration of her pension.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for claiming interest on the arrears of pension which has been released now, petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 17.06.2019 (Annexure P-9) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 17.06.2019 (Annexure P-9), the present writ petition

is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 17.06.2019 (Annexure P-9) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 03, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No