

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.23382 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision.30.08.2019

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider the representations dated 23.08.2019 (Annexure P-4) and 25.08.2019 (Annexure P-5) within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to consider the representations dated 23.08.2019 (Annexure P-4) and 25.08.2019 (Annexure P-5) within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and respondent No.2 shall pass a speaking order thereon, failing which respondent No.2 shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to the petitioner. This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner from running pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

It is informed that identical writ petitions are pending before this Court for 09.09.2019. Till 09.09.2019, petitioner shall not be

transferred.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 30, 2019

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No