

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-23362-2019

Date of decision: 10.12.2019

Kavita

....Petitioner

versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Kumar Nain, AAG, Haryana.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner-Kavita *inter alia* with the prayer to direct the respondent to include the petitioner in the process of selection for PGT English, Category No.5 (Rest of Haryana), Advertisement No.04/2015 and to conduct the interview along with other candidates.

In this case, notice of motion was issued vide order dated 29.08.2019, which is reproduced as under:-

“Inter alia contends that Haryana Staff Selection Commission caused notice dated 21.08.2019 giving reference to various writ petitions extended benefit of scrutiny of documents/interview to candidates having Court orders for any post of any department to report on 30.08.2019 at 9 AM in the Commission's office.

Notice of motion for 30.09.2019.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s)/State.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

In the meantime, petitioners are provisionally allowed to be interviewed/scrutiny of documents and their results are ordered to be kept in sealed cover and brought on next date of hearing for perusal of this Court.

A copy of this order be given to counsel for petitioners under signatures of Court Secretary of this Bench.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.”

As is evident from the above order, this Court had directed that the petitioner be provisionally allowed to be interviewed/scrutiny of documents and their results are ordered to be kept in sealed cover and brought on the next date of hearing for perusal of this Court.

Today, learned counsel appearing for the State filed a short reply of Rajeev Dudeja, Secretary (Legal), Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula, on behalf of the respondent, which is taken on record.

In compliance to the order dated 29.08.2019, passed by this Court, learned counsel for the respondent has also produced before this Court the result in a sealed cover. The sealed cover has been ordered to be opened. Perusal of the result shows that the petitioner-Kavita has secured 107 marks. Photocopy of the same has been taken on record and original has been

returned to counsel for the respondent.

It is further pointed out by counsel for the respondent that cut off marks for this category of post was 124 marks, whereas, the petitioner-Kavita has secured 107 marks.

In this view of the matter, since the substantive prayer has already been granted, but the petitioner has not been able to secure the cut off marks. Hence, the present writ petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

No other orders are called for.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

10.12.2019

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No