

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5852 of 2017

Date of decision: 22.10.2019

Zilo Devi

.... Petitioner

versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.K. Kaushal, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. .

Cost has already been deposited.

Reply filed on behalf of respondents in the Court today is taken on record.

In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is that the daily wage as well as work charge services rendered by her late husband, prior to his regularisation be treated as qualifying service for computing pensionary benefits. It has been averred by the petitioner that her late husband was appointed on daily wage basis on 18.02.1981 and continued to work as such till 21.04.1987, Thereafter, his services were engaged on work charge basis, where he continued working till his services were regularised on 01.01.1992. The grievance of the petitioner is that the benefit of daily wage service as well as work charge service, has not been granted to her late husband while

computing pensionary benefits after husband of the petitioner unfortunately died, while in service, on 15.02.2005.

Upon notice of motion, respondents have filed reply, in which respondents have admitted the claim of the petitioner. Respondents have passed order dated 17.10.2019, copy of which has been appended with the reply, in which, it is stated that daily wage service as well as work charge service rendered by the late husband of the petitioner has been treated as qualifying service and direction has been given to revise the benefits, for which the petitioner became entitled after death of her husband.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that order dated 17.10.2019, by which the benefits have been extended to the petitioner, will be complied with in its letter and spirit and the consequential benefits will also be released within a period of six weeks from today.

Keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, no grievance of the petitioner survives any further and the present petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

22.10.2019

aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |