

CRM-M-36122-2019

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-36122-2019
Date of decision-20.09.2019**

Darshan Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. R.S.Sohi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab assisted by
ASI Sikander Raj.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Darshan Singh has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to take action on representation sent by petitioner against respondent Nos.4 and 5 in case FIR No.0114 dated 16.10.2016 registered under Sections 420, 409, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Payal, District Ludhiana and to submit final report (Annexure P-1).

On 30.08.2019, the following order was passed:-

*“Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl.AG, Punjab is directed to find out the status of investigation in FIR No.114 dated 16.10.2016 registered under Sections 420, 409, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Payal, District Ludhiana.
Adjourned to 20.09.2019”*

CRM-M-36122-2019

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sikander Raj has apprised the Court that the FIR in question was lodged by petitioner against Amit Bhatia, Arvind Sharma, Navneet Sharma, Ram Singh (respondent No.4) and Gurdeep (respondent No.5) and the complainant himself entered into compromise with some of the accused, therefore, the prayer made by him is mis-conceived.

The attention of the Court is invited to para 8 of the petition wherein it is mentioned that the complainant (petitioner) had entered into a compromise with accused Amit Bhatia, Arvind Sharma and Navneet Sharma on the ground that they had committed the alleged act at the asking of respondent No.4 (Bank Manager). According to the complainant, no compromise was effected with respondent Nos.4 and 5, however, the FIR in respect of other accused has been quashed.

Considering the above background and the conduct of the complainant, this Court does not find any valid reason to invoke inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Resultantly, petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

20.09.2019
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No