

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5599 of 2017
Date of decision :11.3.2019

Ashish Narang

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.P.S.Bajwa, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab.

Mr.Brijeshwar Singh Kanwar, Advocate for
respondent No.3.

Mr.Raghubir Tejpal, Advocate for respondent No.4.

RAJAN GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

Learned State counsel relies upon the reply filed
by way of affidavit of Karamjit Singh, Deputy Director,
Education Recruitment Directorate, Department of School
Education, Punjab, SAS Nagar. Paras No.2 and 3 of the
reply read as under:

"2. That in this regard it is respectfully submitted that the
Department of School Education, Punjab vide
advertisement dated 30.7.2016 invited online submission
of applications for 2005 posts of E.T.T. teachers. In the
advertisement (Annexure P.3), it was specifically
mentioned that the prospective candidates should have
fulfilled the following academic as well as professional
qualifications for the said posts.

"(i) Matric/10+2

(ii) Training/Elementary Teachers training two years course of Punjab State or from any other State or Union Territory declared equivalent and recognized by the Punjab Government.

3. That it is further submitted that the scrutiny of the documents was conducted by Education Recruitment Directorate. The petitioner possesses one year Diploma in Early Childhood Special Education Mental Retardation. It is clarified as per letter No.F.No.5-52/2016-RCI 703 dated 28.11.2016 regarding the eligibility of candidate having one year Diploma for the post of E.T.T., the petitioner is only eligible to be appointed as pre-School nursery/play school, whereas the post of teachers advertised by State of Punjab are for elementary level and for that minimum qualification prescribed by the council is a 2 year Diploma Course. The copy of the letter is annexed here as Annexure R.1. Hence, the petitioner is ineligible for appointment as E.T.T. teacher.

In view of the position explained above, it is, therefore, humbly prayed that the present writ petition may kindly be dismissed."

The petitioner remains unrepresented. Similar was the situation on the last two dates of hearing.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

March 11, 2019
KD

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No