

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23597 of 2019

Date of decision: 30.08.2019

Ram Dhan Pandir

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashish Midha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. .

The counsel for the petitioner states that a punishment was imposed upon the petitioner by the Administrative Committee of the Board on 26.03.2015 (Annexure P/3) in respect of the charge sheet issued to the petitioner on 07.12.1990. Counsel for the petitioner states that against the order of punishment imposed upon the petitioner, the petitioner has preferred an appeal (Annexure P/5). Counsel further argues that though an appeal was preferred by the petitioner in the year 2015 but the same is still pending, even after an expiry of more than three years and, therefore, a direction be issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to decide the appeal (Annexure P/5) by passing a

speaking order, if not already decided, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

30.08.2019
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |