

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 30909 OF 2018

DATE OF DECISION: JANUARY 17, 2019

Kulwinder Kaur and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.PPS Duggall, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms.Bhawna Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

<><><>

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The petitioners herein are the daughter-in-law and son, respectively, of private respondents No.3 and 4 and have filed the instant petition raising a grievance that the petition/application filed by respondents No.3 and 4 under the provisions of the Maintenance and Welfare of the Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 seeking cancellation of transfer deeds could not have been entertained by the Court of Sub Divisional Magistrate, Shahkot at Hoshiarpur. It was urged that such a petition could only have been filed before the District Magistrate concerned.

On a previous date of hearing, a copy of the petition had been handed over to the learned State counsel to complete instructions in the matter.

During the course of hearing today, Ms.Bhawna Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab has filed a written statement on behalf of respondent No.2 i.e. Sub Divisional Magistrate,

Hoshiarpur and the same is taken on record. Copy has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

In para 2 of the preliminary submissions, it has been specifically averred that respondent No.3-Pritam Singh has since withdrawn the application/petition that he had filed before the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Hoshiarpur.

In the light of such development, nothing would survive for adjudication in the instant writ petition.

Writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

JANUARY 17, 2019
SRM

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Note:	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
	<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>