

**236 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 30795 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.07.2019.

Devi Lal Sarpanch

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Taya, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The petitioner seeks quashing of letter dated 16.11.2018 (Annexure P-9) and letter dated 31.08.2018 (Annexure P-8) issued by respondent No.2 and seeks direction to respondent No.2 to stop proceedings under Section 51 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act and the inquiry before the State Vigilance Bureau.

Learned State counsel states that the first inquiry report being defective was never accepted, therefore, it cannot be said that the second inquiry is going rather the same is continuation of the first inquiry. Subsequently, on the complaint filed by respondent No.6, the Vigilance Department had also taken cognizance of the matter which is still under investigation. In the interregnum period, the petitioner was reinstated as Sarpanch. The report with regard to

material used for completion of the project has not been finalized so far.

Heard.

In view of the above, the respondents are directed to complete all the pending inquiries against the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Till then, the petitioner be not divested of the charge of Sarpanch on the charges which are subject matter of various complaints filed by respondent No.6.

Disposed of.

01.07.2019.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No