

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2019.05.27 15:03
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CM No. 20646-47CII of 2018 in/and
FAO- 1978 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.5.2019

Surjeet Kumar

---Appellant

versus

Mohammad Sanaul and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr. Anshuman Dalal, Advocate
for the appellant**

Rekha Mittal, J.
CM No. 20646-CII of 2018

Prayer in this application is for condoning delay of 27 days in filing the application for restoration of the appeal.

Heard.

Allowed as prayed for. Delay of 27 days in filing the application for restoration of the appeal stands condoned.

Disposed of accordingly.

CM No. 20647-CII of 2018

Prayer in this application is for restoration of the appeal.

In view of averments made in the application and arguments advanced by counsel for the applicant, application is allowed and the appeal is allowed to be restored to its original number and stage and is taken on Board today itself.

CM No. 7610-CII of 2016

Prayer in this application is for condoning delay of 2 days in

filing the appeal.

Allowed as prayed for. Delay of 2 days in filing the appeal stands condoned.

Disposed of accordingly.

Main case

Surjit Kumar has filed the instant appeal seeking enhancement of compensation on account of injuries sustained in a motor vehicular accident that took place on 6.3.2013.

The Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Rohtak (in short “the Tribunal”) has awarded Rs.7,700/- against bills of the value of Rs. 7,661/-. The appellant was admitted in Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak on 6.3.2013 and discharged on the same date. He produced on record medical bills Exs. P2 to P9 amounting to Rs. 7,661/-. Counsel for the appellant has not made any submissions with regard to treatment and nature of injuries sustained but claimed for allowing compensation for pain and sufferings. In view of the above, I do not find any justification for allowing compensation over and above what has been assessed by the Tribunal.

For the foregoing reasons, the appeal fails and is accordingly dismissed in limine.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

23.5.2019

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No