

220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36064 of 2019

Date of decision: September 25, 2019

Satwant Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Kamaljeet S. Mamrat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.090 dated 10.11.2016, under Sections 399/402 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 and Section 21 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station SGN DEV TRML PLANT, Bathinda, District Bathinda.

On 29.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that on account of ill health, petitioner could not appear before learned trial Court on 02.07.2019 and that led to the cancellation of bail bonds and surety bonds. Further contends that petitioner is ready to join the proceedings.

Notice of motion for 25.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the proceedings forthwith before learned trial Court and on his doing so, he shall be released on interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing subject to the satisfaction of

learned trial Court.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in terms of interim order dated 29.08.2019 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined proceedings before learned trial Court and has also furnished necessary bail/surety bonds, on 16.09.2019. He has also submitted that now the petitioner is appearing regularly before learned trial Court.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him.

In view of the above, order dated 29.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the learned trial Court for conducting smooth trial without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

September 25, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No