

**229 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5067 of 2017
DATE OF DECISION:20.02.2019

Ancho Rao

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Pankaj Kundra, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 and 3-HUDA.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing, we had noticed that the counsel representing the petitioner has expired. As per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back with a report that she is not residing at the given address. Since there is no other way to reach the petitioner, we would dismiss the writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to make an application for its revival in case of necessity to do so.

**(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE**

**(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE**

20.02.2019
rimal

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No